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The use of adjective intensifiers in the English language has been a topic of much linguistic discourse (Stoffel 1901; Bolinger 1972; Altenberg 1991; Partington 1993; Paradis 1997; Lorenz 2002; Nevalainen & Rissanen 2002; Ito & Tagliamonte 2003; Tagliamonte & Roberts 2005; Xiao & Tao 2007; Méndez-Naya 2008). As the examples shown in (1) demonstrate, *well* and *proper* in British English can also function as adjective intensifiers, i.e., they can syntactically modify adjectives.

(1) (a) he's *proper* silly
(b) I've got *proper* hairy toes
(c) they get *proper* hot weather
(d) that's *well* skanky
(e) she's *well* pretty
(f) she had a *well* posh version of the Yorkshire accent
(g) she looked *well* older than sixty-six

[dataset from the BNC1994 and the Spoken BNC2014]

However, to date, few studies have empirically investigated the use of these two adjective intensifiers in British English. Literature on *proper* is virtually non-existent and although *well* was briefly explored by Stenström (2000), the study did not explore its usage throughout time and the study used a small sample corpus which was also not geographically representative of British English. The present study bridges this gap by examining their present-day usage in the spoken component of the BNC (British National Corpus 1994) with the recently released Spoken BNC2014 (British National Corpus 2014). By using a dataset of over 20 million words (approximately 10 million per corpus), the present study explores their frequency, collocational and syntactic distribution from 1994 to 2014.

The results indicate that both adjective intensifiers have significantly increased over the last two decades. Furthermore, while the adjective intensifier *well* is used more frequently than *proper*, *proper* has accelerated at a faster rate over this twenty-year period. The present study also argues that these two adjective intensifiers are multifunctional and productive insofar as what they can modify and collocate with. This is because they can modify both predicative (1a, 1d, 1e) and attributive adjectives (1b, 1c, 1f), gradable (1c, 1e) and extreme adjectives such as *gorgeous*, as well as some comparative adjectives (1g). As for their collocational distribution they can modify adjectives of a variety of different semantic classes, and can modify adjectives of both positive (1e) and negative prosody (1a, 1b, 1d). Moreover, the number of verbs with which they collocate such as *[to be, to get, to seem, to look, to find, to become + ADJ]* has increased over the two decades.
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