No	Recommendation	Comments	Agreed Actions
1	Reflect on ways in which academic	Academic governance remains the	1. Review Board KPIs during 17/18.
	governance can be strengthened,	responsibility of the Academic Board but	
	informed but not limited to the	the Board of Governors requires	2. New annual report to be developed
	recommendations made in the	assurance that appropriate processes and	to cover aspects of academic
	report.	procedures are in place in order to	governance not already received.
		complete the statement of assurance on	To include outcomes and actions
		academic quality that is now included in the Annual Accountability Return to	from review processes. First report due November 2017.
		HEFCE. A comprehensive workshop for	due November 2017.
		governors was held in November 2016	3. Academic (Curriculum) Strategy and
		and there will be further discussions in	European Standards Mapping to be
		2017 to agree an appropriate report	received at May 2017 meeting.
		format for the Board in future.	Toosived at may 2017 mosting.
		It should be noted that the Board is	4. Research report to be received via
		already consulted on any changes to the	Academic Board either July or
		Academic Board Committee structure and	September 2017 and annually
		receives annual reports on Academic	thereafter. To include KPIs and
		Board business, National Student Survey;	progress towards REF 2020.
		the first destination survey; complaints,	
		discipline and appeals and collaborative	
		provision. Information on recruitment is	
		a regular feature of the Vice-Chancellor's	
		report whilst application and retention	
		data are standard KPIs received by the	
		Board. Internal Audit reports on aspects	
		of academic governance are also	
		periodically commissioned via the Audit	
		Committee and the Board receives	
		information on any external quality	
		assessments	

2	The governor link opportunity should be prominently encouraged to connect lay governors with senior academic members of the university.	We now have link governors for the Teaching and Learning Committee and Research Committee. Further involvement would be welcome but it is recognised that governor attendance at meetings during the day can be problematic. A recent suggestion has been to establish links between individual lay Governors and specific departments which will be further discussed at	5. Continue to promote Link Governor Scheme for Academic committees.6. Establish Link Governor Scheme for Academic and Service departments.
3	The scheme of delegation between the board and the sub-committees should be revisited, and at the very least, lay members in particular should be reassured as to why certain decisions and discussions are delegated.	Nominations Committee. The Scheme of Delegation is being reviewed following the first year of operation and a final report will go to Audit Committee in the first instance. The amended scheme will be subject to discussion and approval by the Board.	7. Revised scheme for approval at March 2017 meeting.

The clerk should take the lead in developing a skills matrix which covers the skill areas which the governing considers important (e.g. finance, legal, HR, audit, higher education, estate etc.). The skills matrix should be informed by a self assessment from governors themselves, and should ask members to score themselves on a scale of 0-5 across each skill area. The updated Skills Matrix should be analysed to determine whether there are any collective skills gaps for the governing body which they would benefit from receiving support on.

In order to help further strengthen academic oversight, academic expertise should be expressly added to the skills matrix to ensure that this is always captured amongst lay members of the governing body.

Future governor recruitment should be explicitly informed by the Skills Matrix to ensure that any skills gaps are filled adequately. This was implemented recently and will inform the current recruitment round.

- 8. Implement for 2017 recruitment
- 9. Consider any training requirements during annual 1:1 sessions with the Chair. (Summer each year)

5	All governing body members should be invited to discuss individual training needs/opportunities with the Chair as part of 1-2-1 appraisal and review. All governing body members should have an annual one to one meeting with the chair to review the collective and individual performance of the board.	This is part of standard procedure although it was not possible to undertake this during 2016.	Already in place
6	To augment the student voice further, there should be a formal 1-2-1 meeting between the Chair and the two new student governors as part of their induction process each year, and a meeting mid-year to review progress.	Can be easily incorporated.	10. Implement from 2017/18 session
7	Re-introduce an informal session before (or after) governing body meetings to listen to external input, or hear from departments/faculties/services within the institution.	This happens anyway.	Already in place

8	Consideration should be given as to how the university can better communicate the discussions and decisions of the governing body through existing internal communication channels.	Minutes of meetings are made available publicly via the website.	11. Board of Governor minutes to be received at Academic Board for information. Implement for 2017/18.
---	---	--	--