

Edge Hill Sporting Proposals

Feedback as @ 18th May 2010

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
1.	✓			✓				✓		✓	Loss of current landscape What is going on current sports area?	Close the rear entrance gate for vehicles. Increase infra-structure of additional car parking facilities for students and staff.	Yes. Impact on local facilities. Car parking.
2.		✓		✓				✓		✓	What is planned for current sports area?	Increased parking. Green Belt?	Yes. Plan for current students not attract more.
3.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Squash courts	
4.	✓		✓				✓		✓			25m pool – 50m pool	
5.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Permanent squash facilities. Like environmental considerations, landscaping and pond.	Squash facilities	
6.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Like openness. Nice on the eye. Enhance services to community. Would like glass window walls half glazed for privacy.	Pool viewing/seating area.	
7.		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓		Pleased keeping green corridor.	Concern re. congestion due to inadequate parking.	Park and ride from Burscough Ind Est to Edge Hill.
8.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Overall good – better squash facilities	More squash courts	Access from Ruff Lane
9.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Overall good – more squash courts.	More squash courts	More squash courts
10.		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Better parking facilities
11.	✓		✓				✓		✓		Great for town, region, uni	Grass running track as well as synthetic	
12.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Great if could be finished for 2012 Olympics!	3x squash courts; new club or joint venture with tennis club	Double size of student accommodation
13.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
14.	✓		✓				✓		✓		Excellent	Extra car parking	Improve local transport/car parking
15.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Impressive		More resources for children over 10 years
16.		✓		✓				✓		✓	New development inappropriate as Green Belt. Concerned re. car parking		
17.	✓			✓	✓		?	?	?	?	Proposal impressive but need to see overall growth of Uni	Total impact of expansion on town needs to be shown. Concern re. transport and social plan.	
18.		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	Ormskirk too small. Concern re. safety - traffic on roads	Car parking and access	Not without reducing already insufficient car parking
19.	✓			✓		✓		✓		✓			
20.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Concern re. parking		Separate netball and tennis courts.

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
21.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Proposals positive. Need to consider disabled access and parking	Plan the gym to cater for gym apparatus	
22.		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	Inappropriate development, environmental impact, traffic congestion and car parking.		
23.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		In favour of development.	Disappointed no cricket facilities.	Cricket facilities.
24.		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	Problems with traffic, noise, more students and staff, parking. No benefit to community.	A new site for whole university	
25.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Fantastic increase in sporting facilities, swimming pool, additional entrance on St Helens Rd.	Additional car parking – underground/multi-storey	
26.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Good use of land and creation of meadow, woodland pond and wildlife habitat.		
27.	✓		✓				✓		✓				
28.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Not enough parking. Good increase in sports facilities.	Car parking.	Too much info in presentation for some to take in.
29.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Concern re. compromises to green belt objectives, car parking, traffic		Presentation covers too much ground.
30.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Fantastic plans, great 25m pool and trim trail	Additional smaller pool for children's lessons	
31.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Prioritise customers and provide discount/advantage to these.	More all-weather multipurpose pitches
32.	✓		✓				✓		✓		Good proposals	Bike sheds	
33.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				Extra parking facilities
34.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Pleased still green, sport facilities good for local residents.		
35.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Upgrade of fitness suite equipment to encourage professional athletes		Rough Ln entrance an issue. Make all cars enter front entrance.
36.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Good improvement – looks good		
37.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Fantastic!		
38.	✓		✓				✓		✓		Like pool in same building as fitness suite	More cycle parking – open frames but covered	
39.		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓	✓	Good facility but more traffic and lack of parking inevitable.	Improved parking	Improved parking
40.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Good, less traffic, pool, outdoor space	More parking needed	
41.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Better pool, more outdoor space, new gym, useful entry road to ease traffic.	Large car park	
42.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Impressive design and facilities. Not enough car parking. Benefit with new entrance road.	Traffic flow and light sequences on St Helens Rd	
43.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Welcome proposal.	Waste management – bins, dog waste, disposals. Signage, some seating, don't put costs for use up.	Ensure cycle bar to lock bikes up to. CCTV re. security and safety.
44.	✓		✓				✓		✓		Promising for uni and future of sports	A strength and conditioning facility – athlete performance centre for all university sports and outside bodies and teams	

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
45.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Good sports building and 8 lane track and swimming pool.	A stand with the track and facilities so athletes can train indoors. Trees round the track to stop the wind.	
46.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓				
47.	✓		✓		✓		✓				Concerned about green belt.	Specific parking for sports hall users (eg. elderly/disabled)	Dedicated entrance for sports hall users.
48.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Likes – environmental solutions, community benefits, enhancing reputation of uni, enhanced provisions	Transition and development of existing facilities	
49.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		New track and pitches look great. 8 lane track major improvement.	Planting of trees would help with issue of wind and rainy conditions.	Indoor track facility or small stand and planting of trees.
50.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓				
51.		✓	✓				✓		✓		Fantastic proposal. Lack of parking and decreased parking spaces an issue.		
52.	✓		✓				✓		✓				
53.		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Very impressive. More parking facilities needed.		
54.		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		No traffic surveys carried out on Scarth Hill Lane. Very difficult to exit this lane in the mornings.	Junction extremely dangerous and used for back entrance to college and hospital. Additional entrance will make worse. No additional parking. Ecological, pollution, change to town and road safety all concerns.
55.													
56.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
57.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Very good ideas and beneficial – bigger gym and football pitches		
58.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Excellent idea.	More car parking.	Change the layout of St Helens Rd
59.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Good - More outdoor space for recreation, better pool, facilities for dance, gymnastics and aerobics.	More parking needed.	
60.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		I love all of it; its dead good	9 hole pitch + putt Ice hockey arena/rink dart board	See answers to question 6
61.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		The proposals seem to be well designed and likely to be of benefit to the University in terms of improving the standard of sports teams, bringing the facilities more on a par with other Universities	Include squash facilities; i.e. a minimum of two squash courts with viewing facilities. Also like to see the inclusion of an area dedicated to boxing/kick-boxing/martial arts	
62.	✓						✓		✓				Some of the machines in the gym do not work properly
63.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Great proposals; sauna great addition as well better swimming facilities and more accessible	Additional car parking space essential	

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
64.	✓		✓		✓				✓		I like the proposals in principle	Would like to see seating around the athletics track and indoor warm up area for LPS	Banking around the track needs to be higher because of prevailing winds otherwise athletes will continue to favour more sheltered venues. Need to meet coaches of the clubs to ask what they require to achieve excellence – only one chance to get right
65.	✓		✓		✓				✓		Good landscaping; good use of space; not oppressive; good parking	Is there any parking near the Rose Theatre? Would there be car parking still around the existing entrance	See facilities for table tennis as a serious sport; potential to develop a successful table tennis club (respondent secretary of the Southport table tennis club); sun-glare to be avoided in new courts
66.	✓		✓			✓			✓		Looks good; essential to develop the north west		
67.	✓		✓		✓				✓		Outdoor facilities look outstanding but indoor facilities lack squash court	Squash court would be welcome; not currently available within Ormskirk area; careful landscaping required	Squash facilities, consultation facilities adjacent to fitness room and storage facilities
68	✓		✓			✓			✓			More car parking; the problem is bad enough	
69	✓		✓						✓		I like the fact that it will not detract from its surroundings' it is also environmentally friendly and it is something that is needed in Ormskirk	Parking is one of the main issues when people talk about Edge Hill and this needs to be dealt with asap	Improve car parking
70	✓		✓		✓				✓		A new sports centre would be an excellent addition to the continued development of Edge university. Main issues are traffic and car parking, which has failed to keep up with the growth of the university.	The critical issue of parking. Edge Hill is lacking the adequate Squash facilities, compared to other North West universities.	Squash courts
71.	✓		✓		✓				✓		Integration of walks/paths; new swimming pool		Traffic management on the secondary entrance
72	✓	✓	✓		✓				✓		In favour of the development as I believe the local area would benefit greatly. The new development would give a greater number of people the chance to use high quality facilities. I don't believe the facilities available at present are of a high standard. I often find at Park Pool Swimming Baths equipment is damaged and generally the facilities are unclean. It would also give people more opportunity to establish sports clubs In general I think Ormskirk's appeal to visitor has gone down dramatically over the past few years a new development such as this can only be a good thing.		
73	✓		✓		✓				✓		Proposals appear state of the art; general aesthetic is also pleasing	No	No
74		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Very pleased with the proposal; consultation event very	How are you going to deal with the culvert which	Please keep me in the loop

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
											good	runs through the farm land opposite our house ('Neston', Scarth Hill Lane); this drain takes the out-fall from our septic tank	
75	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
76	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Very well designed	Another gym would have been useful for academic and club use	
77		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Good overall, especially landscaping to hide development from view.	More details of future development	
78	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		See sheet attached to form!		
79	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Very impressed		Would like indoor athletic area or indoor warm up area
80.	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Could do with trees to shield the track from wind.	An indoor track so athletes can train in the winter.
81	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		No provision for shelter at the track.		
82	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Overall good.	Are the long/triple jump pits going to be double width both ends with 2 runways approaching each pit? Is there potential for seating to be included around the track.	
83	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Very good and well thought out	Need for winter facilities for the jumps and throws – indoor. Shelters around the track.	
84	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Very impressed with the layout	Parking will be a problem. If the Preston/Ormskirk train service could be more frequent with a bus link to the college it may help.	Some underground parking or a multi-storey on main campus.
85	✓			✓		✓		✓		✓	Concern about increasing size of Edge Hill and number of students and concern re. encroachment onto Green Belt land.	Not encroaching onto Green Belt and maintaining existing facilities.	Focus on development of areas that already have buildings on them.
86	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
87	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Generally good although no squash facilities.	Squash facilities with viewing area for official games, swimming pool of good standard and length for proper training.	Focus on sustainable architecture and energy usage.
88	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Like proposals and agree university needs to expand to cater for more students. But how sustainable are the plans? Need to be green. Environmental Impact	Green/sustainability. Local Wildlife Increase in number of students Student entertainments	Bigger pool.
89	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Generally positive with some points missing. Like – biodiversity included, genuine improvement for wildlife; 8-lane running track and 10 lane sprints. Is a steeplechase water jump included? Must have new running track in place	Must have a stand on north-west side of track to shelter from weather, athletes to store bags. Only needs to be small and low. Could add shelter in form of trees. How will you stop footballers going	Trim trail is good – can you add extra loops to increase its length?

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
											before dismantle old one.	across running track in studded boots?	
90	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		See attached sheet.		
91	✓		✓				✓		✓				
92	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
93	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Like the better gym facilities and modern pool.		
94	✓						✓		✓		Very good.		Not much.
95	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Don't appear to be any squash courts in new proposal. Prices need to be kept competitive with other local gyms.	
96	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Impressive proposals. Will benefit the community.	NO	Bigger (Olympic size) swimming pool
97	✓		✓				✓		✓		Looking forward to having a better pool in the area.		
98	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Proposals of huge benefit to existing members, also encourage more people to take up physical exercise.		
99	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Squash	Squash court	
100	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Need adding squash courts		
101	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Good – need squash courts.	Squash courts	
102	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Much needed for all concerned.	Please keep squash courts	
103	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Very high tech – extremely clinical – very much like the health building. Not friendly/warm atmosphere	Evening classes for students to be taught. It is OK having fabulous pitches if our students can use them – even when it is raining	Yes – consider teaching, not the community. Too much space wasted. Turn into classrooms – computer suites.
104	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Will cater for increased demand and participation in sport. Increase popularity of university and provide the best in the country.		
105	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		More facilities to cater for increasing demand of sport and recreation. Improved facilities that are state-of-the-art and modern will attract more revenue to the area.		
106	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Will improve people's view of exercising with new equipment. Develop up and coming athletes.		
107	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
108	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Need basic pit outside track for long jump teaching with toilets nearby. Shelter needed for athletics track.	Consider double long jump pits in both directions to enable holding competitions. Also a second shot circle. One of the long jump sites could double up as a PV runway. An additional outside throwing area useful for competition and club training. Technical/weigh-in room as well as officials' rest room. Announcer's room with clear

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
													track visibility. Storage large enough for hurdles, PV stands etc. Metal kerbing must stay in place!
109		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Helps the university move forward and of benefit to all who use facilities	A larger gym	
110	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Proposals look comprehensive. Sports building looks well planned and modern (eco); like landscaping and nature trails; dislike lack of storage spaces; dislike very bare, open to elements aspect of running track.	Essential to include areas of shelter close to/ around running track. Encourage athletes of a higher standard. Need nearby storage for clothes and equipment.	Indoor running track.
111	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓			Coverage for spectators when watching athletics	
112		✓	✓				✓		✓			New gym equipment, especially for body conditioning.	
113	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		New swimming pool and sauna are great idea		
114	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Well thought out and likeable scheme. However, new development should cater for a Gaelic team with approved pitch as would attract applications from Ireland.	Essential Gaelic pitch.	
115	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Of benefit to local community.		A larger variety of gym equipment, especially dumb bells and Olympic bar.
116	✓										See printed sheet		
117	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Good proposal so long as doesn't impact on surrounding area, eg. litter and parking. Good design plans, particularly footpaths.	Need squash courts or will lose some gym users.	At least 2 more squash courts that currently.
118	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Proposal is wonderful. A real asset to the area.	Need squash courts.	Squash courts.
119	✓			✓				✓	✓		Proposals imaginative and enhancement to the area if all the screening/landscaping is carried out. Congestion is an issue in the area due to large number of students. It is affecting fabric of the town with housing geared towards students. Affluent town but local pubs and shops don't reflect. On this basis can't support proposal.		
120		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	No problem with university extending but feel there is no consideration for increase in cars. Parking will only get worse. Increase in noisy students.	Increase in car parking facilities; a request to students to respect properties when walking home late at night.	
121		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	A major threat to Green Belt and too large for the small market town.		
122		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	Proposal does nothing for the community. Already adequate sporting facilities in the area. Development is unnecessary and aimed at bettering University.	Money would be better spent on living space for students to live on campus.	
123											See sheet.		

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
124	✓		✓				✓		✓		Are there going to be windows looking out to the open or the wooded area? Will there be updated machines, ie. TV and radio etc rather than having to listen to dance music. Need toilets and showers on the same level as the gym.	Are there any plans to create a "forest" at the rear of the gardens on Scarth Hill Lane for extra privacy? Concern re. light pollution from sports track lighting.	Ensure university environmentally friendly – recycling waste, re-using rainwater etc.
125											A legally binding commitment to build accommodation for the extra full time students would help alleviate local problems. Plus rules on owning cats!		
126	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Proposals are needed for disabled and whole community.	Would like to see all door accesses wheelchair friendly. Electric doors with sensors would allow independent access.	Yes, considerably. Signing to new member is very poor.
127	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Concerned the plans for the pool area and its viewing gallery in the fitness suite and reception will prevent some Asian based cultural and religious backgrounds from using the area as it does not look as though the area can be screened off for privacy. Is the pool deck accessible for disabled? No consultation room. No storage in the studio space. CL PCT expressed interest in hydrotherapy. Is it to be considered? Will the new build be IFI proof? Does the new development use energy efficient sources of energy?		
128	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Improvement of sports facilities is welcome but unfortunate current facilities will be demolished considering their age and good condition. Part/all of facilities were publicly/ lottery funded so should not utilise further grant funding.	A strong and enforced planning condition should be included preventing the development of additional parking or taking any tennis courts out of use to use as parking as currently happens.	Speed limit will need to be lowered to 40mph much earlier on St Helens Rd in order to accommodate new junction.
129	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
130	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Ormskirk has a need for good sporting facilities that are available to all members of the local community.	Can't believe no squash courts as current courts are the only ones in the town and very well used. Adding a glass back competition style court would greatly improve the current facilities.	
131	✓		✓	✓			✓		✓		Proposals seem to be very comprehensive and somewhat ambitious. Environmental benefits if carried through would seem to be good. Better access either to front or rear of campus would be welcomed.	More parking places should be included.	
132	✓		✓				✓		✓		Love it!	More car parking needed. Easier access from main road.	
133	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Generally good. Looks as if drainage has been well thought out as currently an issue for field area within athletics track. Further concerns with regard to the plans for the track.	Close vehicular access to the running track (eg. a drop off point). Move areas for heavy throws (eg. shot putt, hammer etc) to end of track nearest building so less time wasted moving equipment.	

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
												Larger storage area – current storage is overfull. Need somewhere to store pole vault. Toilet facilities in building near to track access.	
134	✓						✓		✓			Ensure that on-track facilities for steeplechase event included ie. 4 steeplechase barriers and water jump (placed on bend furthest away from normal start/finish line). Ensure trim trail surface is usable in adverse weather conditions.	
135	✓		✓				✓		✓				
136											See attached sheet.		
137											See attached sheet		
138		✓		✓				✓		✓	See attached sheet		
139											See attached sheet		
140		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		See attached sheet		
141											See attached sheet		
142	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Support proposed development but is the reason for relocation of sports facilities to provide room for more structural development and more students and staff – may cause housing and parking problems	Additional parking facilities. Need to fully investigate parking issues on campus and within the town. Town is very congested and made worse by students parking eg. directly adjacent to Ruff Woods.	Investigate student accommodation. Landlords letting properties deteriorate and more private houses being converted into student lets. Council tax revenue of town will decrease with landlords not having to pay!
143		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓	University is expanding when their future is uncertain – predicted reduction in HE funding and increase in student fees. Size of campus is significant compared to remainder of campus.	How will increase in traffic be managed? (Adding an extra entrance – not enough.) How will local community gain access as well as students – no spare capacity. Improve “greening” of the site – how will university discourage traffic and car travel.	The building on green belt removes a visual facility around Ruff Woods, the proposals do not minimise the visual impact of the facility sufficiently. It needs to be better screened by natural screening eg. more trees planted, within and around the development, more use of subterranean building for offices, parking and sports facilities to ensure minimum impact.
144		✓		✓				✓		✓	It is wrong. Scarth Hill is green belt. Environmental concerns. The Green Party support investment in local agriculture because of the benefits in job creation and pollution reduction limiting its transportation. There is a world food shortage!	Renovate existing facilities. Will be cuts in education budgets. Some universities are pushing for higher student fees. Money should be used to support poor students.	
145		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Looks very interesting. Reasonably well thought out proposal.	Community use of facilities should be proactively promoted.	
146		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓		Excellent	No	No
147		✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Proposal will inevitably increase traffic in the area,	

	1. Do you currently use the University's existing sports facilities?		2. Does Ormskirk need better Sporting facilities?		If so, would you use them?		3. Do you support the University's proposals to improve its sporting facilities?		4. Do you agree the proposals would be of benefit to the local community?		5. Please tell us what you think of our proposals, including what you like or dislike.	6. Is there anything you would like to see included as part of the proposals? Are there any specific issues that need to be considered in the planning application?	7. Could we improve the development?
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			
												particularly for special events. This will increase the existing traffic problems.	
148	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Fantastic for the community. Sports centre could do with squash courts though.	Squash courts.	All looks good.
149	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓				
150		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Like the sympathetic design – low level and set down facilities. Gym and sports facilities will get much more use, especially from local community. Siting the junction further out of Ormskirk is a better idea.	Would like ability to have pitches for local rugby clubs – especially tag minis and juniors.	Perhaps more trees and screening.
151		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓		Well thought out. Edge Hill needs to expand to maintain its position as a leading NW University.		
152		✓	✓			✓	✓		✓		Needs more parking space.	More parking	Yes – more parking
153	✓		✓		✓		✓		✓		Excellent. Eco-friendly. More jobs for local people.	Better support from the local Council. Good ideas.	No, really well considered.
154		✓	✓		✓		✓		✓		Well thought out. Looks good. Will create better facilities for everyone.	More local people need to support Edge Hill for future generations, not just now.	No.
155		✓	✓		✓			✓		✓	Proposals don't add anything new – the University already has these proposals.	Different sports facilities should be considered	Yes – a cycle track, climbing wall, archer; swimming activities such as water polo, scuba diving, etc.
Total	111	38	126	19	102	19	117	19	127	19			

Other		
	+ ve comments	-ve comments
155		Object to the University growing any further Anti-social behaviour from students Waste of taxes; expensive promotional material
156		No provision within the proposals for student accommodation; need to relieve pressure on Ormskirk.
157		How will residents be taken into account?
158		Would like to see further traffic analysis of the junction as I am doubtful that it will work. Can you be more specific about the nature of future development area?
159		Will the project increase student numbers? The current facilities are only available to the public at inconvenient times More students mean more cars, more pollution, more pressure on stretched services. Is this development being paid for by the tax-payer? How bright will the floodlights be?
160	A wonderful development – looking forward to it.	

	Opportunities to improve racket sports especially within the indoor facility.	
161		Against expansion plans.